

**Special Meeting – Truck Development
October 4, 2013**

Chairman Raymond Equils called the meeting to order at 19:00 hours, followed by the Pledge of Allegiance. Chairman Equils then read the Opening Statement, noting this meeting was advertised on Sunday, September 22, 2013 in the Asbury Park Press and posted on two (2) municipal Bulletin Boards and in the firehouse, as required by the Open Public Meetings Act, commonly referred to as “The Sunshine Law.”

Roll call was taken with Commissioners Eadicicco, Equils, Kelly, Scarlato, and Stalling being present. Also present was Asst Engineer John Thompson. It was noted that there were zero (0) other firefighters and zero (0) members of the public present.

Also present was Mr. John O’Keefe, representing Fire & Safety Services, Ltd; a Sales Firm for Pierce Manufacturing, headquartered in Wisconsin.

Chairman Equils noted as per the public advertisement, the sole purpose of this meeting is to review and discuss the Sale Proposal submitted by Pierce Manufacturing; and to make decisions on accepting or rejecting exceptions to the district’s prepared specifications, as annotated by Pierce Manufacturing.

Following the opening, Chairman Equils turned the floor over to Mr. O’Keefe, who proceeded to review the exceptions with the board. The result of the review is as follows:

- Page 5: One piece flat windshield – Acceptable;
- Page 6: Excepted dimensions exceed specifications – Acceptable;
- Page 6: .500” Less in step depth – Acceptable;
- Page 7: Door Handles no Latches – Acceptable;
- Page 8: Approximately 1.00” difference in dimensions – Acceptable;
- Page 9: No door scuff plates, due to different manufacturing processes – Acceptable;
- Page 9: Again – One piece flat windshield – Acceptable
 - 400 sq. in. vs. 620 sq. in. Cab Side Viewing Window – Acceptable;
 - Smaller 8” x 14” Stationary Viewing Windows – Acceptable;
- Page 10: Modified location of Air Cleaner – Acceptable;
 - Wheel Well Liners fabricated as part of the cab, not bolt on – Acceptable;
- Page 12: No Under Seat Storage Compartment for Driver’s Seat – Acceptable;
- Page 14: Pierce Model SCBA Seats – Acceptable;
- Page 16: Modified dimensions of External Cab Storage Compartment – Acceptable;
- Page 17: Modifications to 2 Interior Cab Storage Compartments – **NOT DECIDED**;
- Page 17: Laptop Computer Tray – Black Color/Painted – Acceptable;
- Page 22: Location of Climate Control Switches – Acceptable;
- Page 23: Deletion of Rear Cab Lifting Eyes – Acceptable;
 - Shorter Height Front Bumper (10”) – Acceptable;
 - Bumper Extension modified to 25” from cab face – Acceptable;
- Page 24: Winch Receiver – Front of Chassis – Unable to Provide – **NOT DECIDED**;
 - Tow Fork/Tow Eye Provision – Other manufacturing design on specified Chassis allows for proper towing of vehicle – Acceptable;
- Page 25: Front Axle – Different Brand, meets specification – Acceptable;
 - Front Brakes – Different Brand, meets specification – Acceptable;
 - Front Suspension, Different Brand, exceeds specifications – Acceptable;
- Page 27: Painted Master Cylinder – Acceptable;
- Page 28: Front Wheels & Tires - Different Brand, meets specifications – Acceptable;

Page 29: Tire Pressure Equalization System – **Deleted by the Board tonight.**

Page 32: Air Cleaner Mounting Location – Acceptable;
Accelerator Control Mounting Location – Acceptable;

Page 34: Diesel Exhaust Fluid Tank – ½ gallon smaller tank – Acceptable;

Page 35: Dual Power Steering – Different Brand, meets specification – Acceptable;

Page 43: No Battery Box Lid, Cab floors seals battery box – Acceptable;

Page 46: Arrow Turn Signals – Modified location on cab – Acceptable;

Page 53: Cab Radius Warning Lights – Cannot provide lighting in specified area.
Noted there is lighting located near to specified area – Acceptable;

Page 54: Air Horns – Different Brand, meets specification; Mounting location changed – will be split & mounted inside frame rails – Acceptable;

Page 62: Discharges & Accessories – Different Brand Gauges, meets specifications – Acceptable;

Page 65: Officers Side Discharge – Options for Plumbed Location – **NOT DECIDED;**

Page 68: Horizontal Cross lays – Single vs. dual stacked – Acceptable;

Page 72: Pump Access Panels – No front of pump panel; Access elsewhere – Acceptable;

Page 78: Compartment Drip Molding – Other manufacturing design – Acceptable;
Body Compartment Fabrication – Material thinner by 1/16” – Acceptable;

Page 79: Sub Structure – Material selection – Steel vs. Aluminum – Acceptable;
D/S Rear Section of Fender – Location for fuel & DEF fill – Acceptable;

Page 80: Drive & Officer Compartmentation – Smaller Dimensions – **NOT DECIDED;**
Rear Step Compartment – Acceptable;

Page 81: Compartment Under Ladder Storage Bank – Discussed modification to “Roll Up” door configuration, similar to “PUC” model, to better accommodate “Blitzfire” unit – **NOT DECIDED;**

Page 82: Hose Bed Width at 45” wide – Acceptable;
Hose Bed Partition constructed of 1/8” Aluminum – Acceptable;

Page 83: Hose Bed Cover – Substituted Manufactured Design, Bomb Bay Doors vs. Rolling/Lifting Doors – **NOT DECIDED;**

Page 84: Protection Panels – Fabricated of stainless steel – Acceptable

Page 85: Body Rub Rails – Fabricated of bright aluminum – Acceptable;

Page 88: Slide Out Floor Mount Tray – Equipped with automatic locks in lieu of gas struts/shocks – Acceptable;

Page 89: Vertical Tool Board – Secured with positive lock in lieu of gas struts/shocks – Acceptable;

Page 90: Low Pressure Reel to Storage Bottle – Agreed there is no provision to provide air bottle in purchase. Further review is required for number of (1 may be deleted), location & specifics on reel, including any fittings or attachments - **NOT DECIDED;**

Page 91 – Hydraulic, Electric Reel – Quoted 10/3 or 10/4 wire, exceeding specification; District noted preference for 10/3 wire – Acceptable;

Page 90 – Suction Hose – Board agreed to delete this item from proposal – **DECISION;**

Page 99 – Paint Section – Use of “Sikkens” Brand paint – Acceptable.

This concluded the review of the written proposal from Pierce Manufacturing.

Mr. O'Keefe went on to advise and caution the board that Administrative Fees for items like inspection trips/visits, dealer delivery, performance bond, attorney, auditor, consultant, etc., are listed and proposed separately in the proposal document.

The delivered proposal includes the various charges individually, allowing the board to select only the items they feel are needed.

The board advised Mr. O'Keefe it will meet next Thursday, (Oct 11) at 19:00 to review the proposal from KME Apparatus, and that he is welcome to attend if he wishes.

Chairman Equils noted following that review, the board will meet again, to compare, discuss, and hopefully decide the acceptability of any remaining exceptions from either proposal.

The board will then have to meet with its attorney and auditor, to make sure all legal and financial obligations are being met.

Vice Chairman Scarlato noted that at the end of the review process the board will then have to decide the last issue of purchase cost vs. value of an apparatus over the course of its expected lifetime of service. All informally agreed.

NEXT MEETING: Next meeting will be Thursday, October 11, 2013, at 19:00 hours, as publicly advertised in the Asbury Park Press.

PUBLIC COMMENT There was one (1) firefighter present. There were zero (0) members of the public present. No comments were offered.

ADJOURNMENT This concluded the business for the board, so a motion to adjourn was made by Commissioners Scarlato and Eadicicco, and passed by the board.

The meeting closed at 21:02 hours.
Respectfully Submitted,

Robert J. Kelly
Clerk of the Board

RJK/rjk

10/04/2013